

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,664	09/08/2003	Hung-Shan Wei		2004
25859 WELTE CHU	7590 07/24/200 NG	EXAMINER		
FOXCONN INTERNATIONAL, INC.			HAIDER, FAWAAD	
1650 MEMOR SANTA CLAI	REX DRIVE RA, CA 95050		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	.,		3627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/658,664	WEI, HUNG-SHAN			
Examiner	Art Unit			
FAWAAD HAIDER	3627			

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner	ALC OILL					
	FAWAAD HAIDER	3627					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Estrassions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 GR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the sat or extended period for reply with by statute are depended to the provision of the control of the provision of the reading agency planet term deliginations. See 37 GR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Ju	<u>ine 2008</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 12 is/are withdrawn fi	4a) Of the above claim(s) 12 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	⊦(d) or (f).					
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau	•						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		d.					
' '							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Day	(PTO-413)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/Sb/08)	5). Notice of Informal F						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/8/03.	6) Other:						

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/8/03.

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20080625

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/658,664

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

1. Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 based on Supreme Court precedent, and recent Federal Circuit decisions, a § 101 process must (1) be tied to another statutory class (such as a particular apparatus) or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or materials) to a different state or thing. Diamond v. Diehr, 450 U.S. 175, 184 (1981); Parker v. Flook, 437 U.S. 584, 588 n.9 (1978); Gottschalk v. Benson, 409 U.S. 63, 70 (1972); Cochrane v. Deener, 94 U.S. 780,787-88 (1876). The process steps in claims (9-11) are not tied to another statutory class nor do they execute a transformation. Thus, they are non-statutory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,664
Art Unit: 3627

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 1, 2, 6, 8, 9, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jenkins et al (2002/0188499) in view of Crampton et al (2003/0177050).

Re Claims 1, 9: Jenkins et al discloses wherein: the priority calculating module is used to determine calculated priorities of manufacturing orders in accordance with data on the manufacturing orders (see [0270, 0272, 0280]); the priority revising module is used to revise priorities of manufacturing orders to requirements of customers (see [0179]); and the document updating module is used to update priority data stored in a database server according to data generated by the priority calculating module and the priority revising module (see [0027, 0078]). However, Jenkins fails to disclose the sorting module. Meanwhile, Crampton et al discloses the sorting module is used to display manufacturing orders in selected sequences for users (see [0115, 0117, 0144]). From the teaching of Crampton, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Jenkins' invention with Crampton's sorting module in order to "allow for modeling order groups and sorting criteria in such a way that the highest priority orders get processed first (see Crampton [0115])."

Re Claim 2: Jenkins discloses wherein the priority calculating module, the priority revising module, the sorting module and the document updating module are comprised in an application server (see [0057]).

Application/Control Number: 10/658,664

Art Unit: 3627

Re Claim 6: Crampton discloses wherein selectable sequences for displaying manufacturing orders comprise sequences according to a designated priority, a calculated priority, a scheduled manufacturing starting time, and a scheduled manufacturing completion time (see [0115, 0117, 0144]). From the teaching of Crampton, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Jenkins' invention with Crampton's sorting module in order to "allow for modeling order groups and sorting criteria in such a way that the highest priority orders get processed first (see Crampton [0115])."

Re Claim 8: Jenkins discloses wherein the calculated priority is determined using the formula: Calculated priority=(scheduled manufacturing completion time--current date)/(scheduled manufacturing completion time--scheduled manufacturing starting time) (see [0270, 0272, 0280]).

Re Claim 11: Jenkins discloses wherein the determining step relates to a scheduled manufacturing starting time and a scheduled manufacturing completion time of the manufacturing order (see [0040]).

 Claims 3-5, 7, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jenkins et al (2002/0188499) in view of Crampton et al (2003/0177050) and further in view of Drolet et al (2002/0147622).

Re Claims 3-5, 7, 10. Drolet discloses further comprising a database connecting module which connects the priority calculating module, the priority revising module, the sorting module and the document updating module with a master list of manufacturing orders and detailed records of manufacturing orders, which are comprised in a

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/658,664

Art Unit: 3627

database server. Drolet also discloses wherein the database server comprises a database management module for managing the master list of manufacturing orders and the detailed records of manufacturing orders. Finally, Drolet discloses wherein the document updating module updates data stored in the master list of manufacturing orders and the detailed records of manufacturing orders in accordance with implementation results of the priority calculating module and the priority revising module (see [0049, 0053, 0142]). From the teaching of Drolet, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Jenkins and Crampton with Drolet's use of a master list in order to "monitor critical supply chain parameters (see Drolet Abstract)."

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Storch et al (5,920,846) discloses a method and system for processing a service request relating to installation, maintenance, or repair of telecommunications services provided to a customer premises. Lilly et al (6,088,626) discloses a method and apparatus for scheduling work orders in a manufacturing process. Fisher et al (6,092,189) discloses a channel configuration program server architecture. Huang et al (6,151,582) discloses a decision support system for the management of an agile supply chain. Barts et al (2002/0082893) discloses a delivery system and method for vehicles and the like. Kall et al (2003/0149608) discloses a suite

Application/Control Number: 10/658,664

Art Unit: 3627

of configurable supply chain infrastructure modules for deploying collaborative emanufacturing solutions. Mikurak (7.124.101) discloses asset tracking in a networkbased supply chain environment. Scheer (7,324,966) discloses a method for fulfilling an order in an integrated supply chain management system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fawaad Haider whose telephone number is 571-272-7178. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Ryan Zeender can be reached on 571-272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/F. Ryan Zeender/

/Fawaad Haider/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3627 Examiner

Art Unit: 3627

Art Unit 3627

FIH